

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/757,676	01/14/2004	Lookman Fazal	630-053US	8048	
47912 DEMONT & E	7590 11/15/2007 BREYER LLC		EXAMINER		
100 COMMO	NS WAY, STE 250		KEEFER, MICHAEL E		
HOLMDEL, N	IJ 07733		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2154		
		•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/757,676	FAZAL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1
	Michael E. Keefer	2154	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nd will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	October 2007.		
2a) This action is FINAL 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to th	ne merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-13 is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-17</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 January 2004</u> is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Exami	ner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 (CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form F	PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	nts have been received.	•	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		· ·	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	•	n received in this Nationa	al Stage
application from the International Bure	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	A	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies no	it received.	
•			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _

6) Other: _

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/13/2004.

Application/Control Number: 10/757,676 Page 2

Art Unit: 2154

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responsive to the response filed 10/30/2007 and application filed 1/14/2004.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Applicant's election without traverse of Species 5 drawn to Fig. 10, represented by claims 14-17, in the reply filed on 10/30/2007 is acknowledged.
- 3. Applicant's election of Species 5 in the reply filed on 10/30/2007 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 4. The election requirement is made final and claims 1-13 are withdrawn from consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Etoh (US 2002/0161920) in view of Ando et al. (US 2002/0078202), hereafter Ando.

Regarding claims 14-15, Etoh discloses:

A method comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/757,676

Art Unit: 2154

deploying a first station in a first network; deploying a server in a second network connected to said first network through a secure access server; (Fig. 1, 12, 26, 22, 11 may all be stations in the first network, 28 is a sever in a second network. 13 or 14 may be "secure access servers" between the first and second network.)

transmitting from said first station a protocol data unit addressed to a second station in said first network, wherein said protocol data unit comprises an address of said server. ([0089-0091], additionally Fig. 7-8 and their descriptions in [0092-0093]. A station in one network (transmitter 26) routes a data packet through a suspected router (i.e. 22) in the same network, and upon receiving the packet at the server on the second network (recipient 28) the server decides that the device is an unauthorized router.)

Etoh discloses all the limitations of claims 14-16 except for the server alarming when the packet is received.

The general concept of recording, alerting, or alarming when a possible network vulnerability is found is well known in the art. (I.e. The examiner is taking Official Notice of this fact.) This is evidenced by Ando in at least Fig. 12, which displays an alert when unauthorized intrusions are detected; Fig. 14 which displays multiple network attacks/vulnerabilities as well as the network addresses of these events, additionally

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Etoh with the general concept of recording, alerting, or alarming

Application/Control Number: 10/757,676

Art Unit: 2154

when a possible network vulnerability is found as taught by Ando in order to allow a network administrator to be aware of network vulnerabilities found by Etoh.

7. Claims 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Etoh and Ando as applied to claims 14-15 above, and further in view of Burrows et al. (US 2002/0073338), hereafter Burrows.

Etoh and Ando teach all the limitations of claims 16-17 except discovering the port that the unauthorized router is attached to and disabling that port.

The general concept of discovering the port that is the source of a network attack or vulnerability and disabling that port is well known in the art as taught by Burrows.

([0050-0051] teach finding the source of an unwanted packet and disabling the port that is the source of the packet.)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Etoh and Ando with the general concept of discovering the port that is the source of a network attack or vulnerability and disabling that port as taught by Burrows in order to eliminate security vulnerabilities in the network.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The Examiner notes that Applicant's Admitted Prior Art, Fig. 1, describes a network meeting the first two limitations of claim 14. (i.e. a station in one network, a server in another network, and the networks are connected by a secure access server)

Art Unit: 2154

Additionally, The Examiner notes the teachings of Juitt et al. (US 2003/0087629), which teaches a wireless network connected to a wired network by a secure access server, which also is taught to need to find unauthorized forwarders of packets. ([0017])

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael E. Keefer whose telephone number is (571) 270-1591. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MEK 11/7/2007